

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/809,952 | | 03/26/2004 | Toshio Hara | Q80495 | 7791 | |
| 23373 | 7590 | 02/27/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| SUGHRUE | | | HESS, BRUCE H | | | |
| 2100 PENNS SUITE 800 | SYLVAN | IA AVENUE, N.W. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHING | ON, DC | 20037 | 1774 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| لم | |
|--------|---|
| \sim | • |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/809,952 | HARA, TOSHIO | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Bruce H. Hess | 1774 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | 3 | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI | N. tely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | | |
| Status | () | | | | | | | |
| 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3-26-04 (IDS) | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application | า | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s)is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449-or PTO/SB/98) Raper No(s)/Mail Date 3-26-04 | 6) Other: | and the second of the second o | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/809,952 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

Claims 1-8, 10-12 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Shimada (JP 2002-283730).

Shimada teaches a heat-sensitive recording material comprising a support, a heat-sensitive recording layer and a protective layer which contains an acetylene glycol of applicant's formula (1), inorganic pigments and a solid at room temperature organic lubricant. The inorganic pigments inherently also function as gloss adjusting agents and matting agents. The experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum operating conditions (e.g., determine compositional proportions and particle sizes) fail to render applicant's claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results.

Claims 9 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

BRUCE H. HESS

RIMARY EXAMINER

Brune Her